Case 1:07-cv-09135-AKH [	Document 1	Filed 10/05/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)  DOCKET NO.	
JOHN KOBEL AND JENNIFER KOBEL		DOCKET NO.	
Plaint - against -	tiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHO COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defen	idants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Cor			
	NOTICE OF A	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth her Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth, as	rein in additior are marked wi	n to those paragraphs than '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, JOHN KOBEL AND JE	ENNIFER KOE	BEL, by his/her/their att	torneys WORBY

GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

## I. PARTIES

### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

citizen	1. of New	☑ Plaintiff, JOHN KOBEL (here York residing at 4038 Darby Lane,	· ·	intiff"), is an individual and a
		į,	(OR)	
	2.	Alternatively, □	is the	of Decedent
		, and brings this claim in his (he	er) capacity as of the E	state of

Ca	ase 1:07-cv-09135-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing Plaintiff:		ter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 83-, and has the following relationship to the Injured
Fiamum.	JOHN KOBEL, and brings the injuries sustained by her husba	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff is derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff JOHN KOBEL.  Other:
4. Police Depart	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 4/21/2 tment (NYPD) as a Detective at:	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York
ı	Please be as specific as possible when fi	Illing in the following dates and locations
Location(s) ( From on or all	d Trade Center Site <i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)bout _9/12/2001_ until _4/21/2002_;	The Barge  From on or about;  Approximately hours per day; for  Approximately days total.
Approximate ======	lly _12_ hours per day; for lly _31_ days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at  Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured
From on or all Approximate Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout, until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh From on or al Approximate Approximate	bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	his information on a separate sheet of pa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

<ul> <li>U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdreshy Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act,</li> </ul>	Injured	l Plaintiff
<ul> <li>405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdreby Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue and the stabilization Act, U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue and the stabilization Act, U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue and the stabilization Act, U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue and the stabilization Act, U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s)</li> </ul>	V	§405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
<ul> <li>by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportate Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue ar</li> </ul>		Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue ar		Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
		Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
9 ———— , ,	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h  ✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR) ☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
11	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of Claim Nunc Pro Tunc) has been filed and a	INC.
determination	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
✓ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ C.D. CONTRACTING CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
Ithe PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, ELC □ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	$\square$ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC □ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
L / WORLD TRADE COMPANT, L.F.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP ☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

### 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-09135-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil ——remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C S <b>OF</b>	iction, (or);  Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.  ACTION  d defendants based upon the following theories
	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

# Case 1:07-cv-09135-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

☐ Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.

Cancer Injury: N/A.

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

rehabilitation

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

Other:

Expenses for medical care, treatment, and

	Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		_	Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		<b>V</b>	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 12/15/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: Stomach Problems, Including, but not limited to, Nausea and/or Acid Reflux Date of onset: 12/15/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		V	Other Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
Groui dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	th	ne injui	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
<b>√</b>	Pain and suffering			
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
<b>√</b>	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits			

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York September 27, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), John Kobel and Jennifer Kobel

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

#### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York September 27, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	JOHN KOBEL (AND WIFE, JENNIFER KOBEL),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
====	To Attorney(s) for
====	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:  NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	DOTICE OF SETTLEMENT  that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.  Dated,  Yours, etc.,  WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP